arXiv:1511.06478v2 [math.NT] 14 Jan 2016

EVERY FINITE SET OF INTEGERS IS AN ASYMPTOTIC APPROXIMATE GROUP

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

ABSTRACT. A set A is an (r, ℓ)-*approximate group* in the additive abelian group G if A is a nonempty subset of G and there exists a subset X of G such that $|X| \leq \ell$ and $rA \subseteq X + A$. The set A is an *asymptotic* (r, ℓ)-*approximate group* if the sumset hA is an (r, ℓ)-approximate group for all sufficiently large integers h. It is proved that every finite set of integers is an asymptotic $(r, r + 1)$-approximate group for every integer $r \geq 2$.

1. Asymptotic approximate groups

Let A be a nonempty subset of an additive abelian group G. We do not assume that A is finite, nor that A contains the identity, nor that A is symmetric in the sense that $-A = A$, where $-A = \{-a : a \in A\}$. Let $x \in G$. The *translate of A by x* is the set $x + A = \{x + a : a \in A\}$. If $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_h$ are nonempty subsets of G, then their *sumset* is

$$A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_h = \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_h : a_i \in A_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, h\}.$$

If $A_i = A$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, h$, then we denote the sumset $A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_h$ by hA.

Let r and ℓ be positive integers. The set A is an (r, ℓ)-*approximate group* if there exists a subset X of G such that

$$|X| \leq \ell \qquad \text{and} \qquad rA \subseteq X + A. \tag{1}$$

In this paper we consider only abelian groups because the subject is approximate groups of integers. Our definition of approximate group is more general than the original definition, which is due to Tao [1, 2, 7] and which has been extensively investigated.

We begin with some simple observations. Let A and X be subsets of G that satisfy (1). Let $y \in G$, and let

$$A' = y + A \quad \text{and} \quad X' = (r - 1)y + X.$$

Then

$$|X'| = |X| \leq \ell$$

Date: January 15, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B13, 05A17, 11B75, 11P99.

Key words and phrases. Sums of finite sets of integers,approximate group, asymptotic approximate group, additive number theory.

Supported in part by a grant from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program.

and

$$\begin{aligned} rA' &= r(y + A) = ry + rA \\ &\subseteq ry + X + A \\ &= (r-1)y + X + (y + A) \\ &= X' + A'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, every translate of an (r, ℓ)-approximate group is an (r, ℓ)-approximate group.

Let A and X be subsets of G that satisfy (1), and let $a_0 \in A$. For $r' \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r-1\}$, let

$$X_{r'} = -(r - r')a_0 + X.$$

We have

$$|X_{r'}| = |X| \leq \ell$$

and

$$(r - r')a_0 + r'A \subseteq rA \subseteq X + A.$$

It follows that

$$r'A \subseteq (-(r - r')a_0 + X) + A = X_{r'} + A$$

and so A is also a (r', ℓ)-approximate group.

An (r, ℓ)-approximate group is not necessarily an (r', ℓ)-approximate group for $r' > r$. If A and X are finite subsets of G that satisfy (1), then

$$|rA| \leq |X + A| \leq \ell |A|.$$

Equivalently, if $|rA| > \ell |A|$, then A is not an (r, ℓ)-approximate group. For example, $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ is a $(2, 2)$-approximate group of integers, but not a $(3, 2)$-approximate group.

In this paper we study asymptotic approximate groups. The set A is an *asymptotic (r, ℓ)-approximate group* if hA is an (r, ℓ)-asymptotic group for every sufficiently large integer h. This means that, for every integer $h \geq h_1(A)$, there exists a subset X_h of G such that

$$|X_h| \leq \ell \qquad \text{and} \qquad rhA \subseteq X_h + hA. \tag{2}$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove that every nonempty finite set of integers is an asymptotic $(r, r+1)$-approximate group for every $r \geq 2$.

2. Approximate groups of integers

Let $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ denote, respectively, the additive group of integers and the additive semigroup of positive integers. For $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbf{Z}$, we define the *dilation*

$$d * A = \{da : a \in A\}.$$

For $u, v \in \mathbf{Z}$, the set

$$[u, v] = \{n \in \mathbf{Z} : u \leq n \leq v\}$$

is an *interval of integers* . The interval of integers $[u, v]$ is a *maximal subinterval* of A if $u - 1 \notin A$, $v + 1 \notin A$, and $[u, v] \subseteq A$.

We recall the following well-known result.

Theorem 1. *Let A be a nonempty finite set of integers. For every positive integer r,*

$$|rA| \geq r|A| - r + 1 = |A| + (r-1)(|A| - 1)$$

and

$$|rA| = r|A| - r + 1$$

if and only if A is an arithmetic progression.

Proof. See [5, Theorem 1.3]. □

Theorem 2. *A nonempty finite set A of integers is an $(r, 1)$-approximate group for some integer $r \geq 2$ if and only if $|A| = 1$ if and only if A is an $(r, 1)$-approximate group for all $r \geq 2$.*

Proof. If A is an $(r, 1)$-approximate group, then there is a set X with $|X| = 1$ such that $rA \subseteq X + A$, and so

$$|rA| \leq |X + A| \leq |X||A| = |A|.$$

Theorem 1 implies that that $|rA| > |A|$ if $r \geq 2$ and $|A| \geq 2$. It follows that if $r \geq 2$ and A is an $(r, 1)$-approximate group, then $|A| = 1$.

If $|A| = 1$ and $A = \{a_0\}$, then

$$rA = \{ra_0\} = \{(r-1)a_0\} + \{a_0\} = X + A$$

where $X = \{(r-1)a_0\}$ and $|X| = 1$. Thus, if $|A| = 1$, then A is an $(r, 1)$-approximate group for all $r \geq 2$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3. *Let A be a finite set of integers with $|A| \geq 2$. The set A is an $(r, 2)$-approximate group for some integer $r \geq 2$ if and only if $r = 2$ and A is an arithmetic progression, or $r = 3$ and $|A| = 2$.*

Proof. Let $|A| = k \geq 2$. If $r \geq 2$ and A is an $(r, 2)$-approximate group, then there is a set X with $|X| = 2$ such that

$$rA \subseteq X + A.$$

By Theorem 1,

$$rk - r + 1 \leq |rA| \leq |X + A| \leq |X||A| = 2k$$

and so

$$(r-2)(k-1) \leq 1,$$

If $r \geq 3$, then $r = 3$ and $k = 2$. Otherwise, $r = 2$ and A is a $(2, 2)$-approximate group.

Note that if $r = 3$ and if $k = 2$ and $A = \{a_0, a_1\}$ with $a_0 < a_1$, then

$$3A = \{3a_0, 2a_0 + a_1, a_0 + 2a_1, 3a_1\} = \{2a_0, 2a_1\} + \{a_0, a_1\} = X + A$$

where $X = \{2a_0, 2a_1\}$. Thus, every set A with $|A| = 2$ is a $(3, 2)$-approximate group.

Suppose that A is a $(2, 2)$-approximate group, and that $2A \subseteq X + A$, where $|X| = 2$. If A is not an arithmetic progression, then, by Theorem 1,

$$2k \leq |2A| \leq |X||A| = 2k$$

and so $|2A| = |X + A| = 2k$. Let $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$, where $x_0 < x_1$. Then

$$2A = X + A = (x_0 + A) + (x_1 + A)$$

and

$$(x_0 + A) \cap (x_1 + A) = \emptyset.$$

Let $a_0 = \min(A)$ and $a_{k-1} = \max(A)$. Then

$$2a_0 = \min(2A) = \min(X + A) = x_0 + a_0$$

and so $a_0 = x_0$. Similarly,

$$2a_{k-1} = \max(2A) = \max(X + A) = x_1 + a_{k-1}$$

and so $x_1 = a_{k-1}$. It follows that

$$a_0 + a_{k-1} \in (x_0 + A) \cap (x_1 + A) = \emptyset$$

which is absurd. Therefore, if the finite set A is a $(2, 2)$-approximate group, then A is an arithmetic progression.

We shall prove that every finite arithmetic progression is a $(2, 2)$-approximate group. If

$$A = \{a_0 + id : i = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1\}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} 2A &= \{2a_0 + id : i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k - 2\} \\ &= \{2a_0 + id : i = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1\} \cup \{2a_0 + id : i = k, \ldots, 2k - 1\} \\ &\subseteq (\{a_0\} + A) \cup (\{a_0 + kd\} + A) \\ &= X + A \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X = \{a_0 + d, a_0 + kd\}$$

and so A is a 2-approximate group. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4. *Let u_0, u, v, v_0 be integers such that*

$$u_0 \leq u \leq v \leq v_0$$

and let A be a set of integers such that

$$\{u_0, v_0\} \cup [u, v] \subseteq A \subseteq [u_0, v_0].$$

Let $r \geq 2$. If the integer ℓ satisfies

$$\ell \geq \frac{r(v_0 - u_0) + 1}{v - u + 1} \tag{3}$$

and if

$$X_\ell = \{ru_0 - u + i(v - u + 1) : i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$$

then

$$rA \subseteq X_\ell + A$$

and A is an (r, ℓ)-approximate group.

Proof. For $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell - 1$ we have

$$ru_0 + v - u + i(v - u + 1) = ru_0 + (i + 1)(v - u + 1) - 1$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} X_\ell + [u,v] &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell-1} (ru_0 - u + i(v-u+1)) + [u,v] \\ &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell-1} [ru_0 + i(v-u+1), ru_0 + v - u + i(v-u+1)] \\ &= \bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell-1} [ru_0 + i(v-u+1), ru_0 + (i+1)(v-u+1) - 1] \\ &= [ru_0, ru_0 + \ell(v-u+1) - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$X_\ell + [u,v] \subseteq X_\ell + A$$

it follows that

$$rA \subseteq [ru_0, rv_0] \subseteq X_\ell + [u,v]$$

if

$$ru_0 + \ell(v-u+1) - 1 \geq rv_0.$$

This completes the proof. □

3. Asymptotic approximate groups of integers

The following is a fundamental result of additive number theory [3, 4, 8, 9, 10].

Theorem 5. *If $A^{(N)}$ is a nonempty finite set of nonnegative integers with*

$$\min\left(A^{(N)}\right) = 0, \quad \max\left(A^{(N)}\right) = a^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd\left(A^{(N)}\right) = 1$$

then there is a positive integer $h_0\left(A^{(N)}\right)$ and there are nonnegative integers C and D and finite sets $\mathcal{C} \subseteq [0, C-2]$ and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq [0, C-2]$ such that $[C, ha^* - D]$ is a maximal subinterval of $hA^{(N)}$ and

$$\{0, ha^*\} \cup [C, ha^* - D] \subseteq hA^{(N)} = \mathcal{C} \cup [C, ha^* - D] \cup (ha^* - \mathcal{D}) \subseteq [0, ha^*]$$

for all $h \geq h_0\left(A^{(N)}\right)$.

Note that $0 \in \mathcal{C}$ if $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ and $0 \in \mathcal{D}$ if $\mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. See [5, Theorem 1.1]. □

Theorem 6. *Every nonempty finite set of integers is an asymptotic $(r, r+1)$-approximate group for every $r \geq 2$.*

Proof. We begin with a simple observation. Let $A^{(N)}$ be an (r, ℓ)-approximate group, and let X' be a set of integers such that $|X'| = \ell$ and

$$rA^{(N)} \subseteq X' + A^{(N)}.$$

For $d \in \mathbf{N}$ and $a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$, let

$$A = d * A^{(N)} + a_0$$

and

$$X = d * X' + (r-1)a_0.$$

Then $|X| = |X'| = \ell$, and

$$\begin{aligned} rA &= r(d * A^{(N)} + a_0) = d * rA^{(N)} + ra_0 \\ &\subseteq d * (X' + A^{(N)}) + ra_0 = d * X' + d * A^{(N)} + ra_0 \\ &= d * X' + (r-1)a_0 + d * A^{(N)} + a_0 \\ &= X + A. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that A is an (r, ℓ)-approximate group.

Let A be a nonempty finite set of integers, and let $a_0 = \min(A)$ and $d = \gcd(A - a_0)$. The finite set

$$A^{(N)} = \left\{ \frac{a - a_0}{d} : a \in A \right\}$$

satisfies $\min(A^{(N)}) = 0$, $\gcd(A^{(N)}) = 1$, and

$$hA = d * hA^{(N)} + ha_0$$

for all $h \in \mathbf{N}$. By the previous remark, it suffices to prove that $A^{(N)}$ is an asymptotic $(r, r+1)$-approximate group.

Let a^*, C, D, and $h_0(A^{(N)})$ be the integers defined by Theorem 5, and let

$$h_1(A^{(N)}) = \max\left(h_0(A^{(N)}), \frac{(r+1)(C+D) - r}{a^*} \right).$$

Let $h \geq h_1(A^{(N)})$. Applying Theorem 4 to the set $hA^{(N)}$ with $u_0 = 0$, $u = C$, $v = ha^* - D$, and $v_0 = ha^*$, we satisfy inequality (3) with $\ell = r + 1$ and obtain a set

$$X_{h,r+1} = \{-C + i(ha^* - C - D + 1) : i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r\}$$

such that $|X_{h,r+1}| = r + 1$ and

$$rhA^{(N)} \subseteq X_{h,r+1} + hA^{(N)}.$$

Thus, $A^{(N)}$ is an asymptotic $(r, r+1)$-approximate group. This completes the proof. □

Remark. Using results about convex polytopes, Nathanson [6] proved that every finite set of lattice points is an asymptotic approximate group, and, more generally, that every finite subset of an abelian group is an asymptotic approximate group.

References

[1] Emmanuel Breuillard, *A brief introduction to approximate groups*, Thin groups and super-strong approximation, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 61, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2014, pp. 23–50.

[2] Ben Green, *What is... an approximate group?*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (2012), no. 5, 655–656.

[3] Raj Kumar Mistri and Ram Krishna Pandey, *A generalization of sumsets of set of integers*, J. Number Theory **143** (2014), 334–356.

[4] Melvyn B. Nathanson, *Sums of finite sets of integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **79** (1972), 1010–1012.

[5] ———, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 165, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

[6] ———, *Every finite set of integers is an asymptotic approximate group*, arXiv:1512.03130, 2015.

[7] Terence Tao, *Product set estimates for non-commutative groups*, Combinatorica **28** (2008), no. 5, 547–594.

[8] Jian-Dong Wu, Feng-Juan Chen, and Yong-Gao Chen, *On the structure of the sumsets*, Discrete Math. **311** (2011), no. 6, 408–412.
[9] Quan-Hui Yang, *Another proof of Nathanson's theorems*, J. Integer Seq. **14** (2011), no. 8, Article 11.8.4, 5.
[10] Quan-Hui Yang and Yong-Gao Chen, *On the cardinality of general h-fold sumsets*, European J. Combin. **47** (2015), 103–114.

Department of Mathematics, Lehman College (CUNY), Bronx, NY 10468
E-mail address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu